	TNITED STA	TES DISTRICT COURT	
Boules	FOR THE DISTR	ICT OF MASSACHUSETTS	
Reyes United 51 america		)	
	Plaintiff(s),		1.4
United 51	atis of	) CIVIL ACTION NO. ()3- 30295 - 1	TY.
America	D	) 	
,	This case has been assig P. Neiman for all purpos and returned to the Clerwithin twenty (20) days reverse side for further		
	CONSENT TO PROCEED BE	FORE A U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
-	In accordance with 28 U.	S.C. § 636(c) and Rule 73(b) of the	
Federa	al Rules of Civil Procedu	re, the undersigned counsel of record	
conser	nts to have Magistrate (	Judge Kenneth P. Neiman conduct all	
furthe	er proceedings in this c	ase, including bench or jury trial,	
and or	der the entry of final	judgment, with direct review by the	
First	Circuit Court of Appeals	s if an appeal is filed.	
S	igned and dated this	30 h day of March, +92004	
	-	Michael Monas Attorney for Oscar Reyes	
		Attorney for	
A S L C	CCORDINGLY, MAJOR CRIMIN CHEDULING AND TRIALS BEF IKELIHOOD, THEREFORE, A	CONDUCT TRIALS IN FELONY CASES. AL CASES WILL NOT INTERFERE WITH FORE MAGISTRATE JUDGES. IN ALL A CONSENT WILL MEAN THAT THIS RESOLVED SOONER AND MORE	
REF	JSAL TO CONSENT TO PROCE	ED BEFORE A U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
I	n the event you are unw	illing to consent, sign below.	-
S	igned and dated this	, day of, 19 .	
		Attorney for	
		Attorney for	

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS WESTERN SECTION

## STANDING ORDER

February 12, 2002

It is hereby ORDERED that, on February 12, 2002, a system of automatic assignment of civil cases to Magistrate Judge Kenneth P. Neiman will commence at the United States District Court in Springfield, Massachusetts. Beginning on that day, the supervising deputy at Springfield shall randomly assign one-fourth of the civil cases filed to the magistrate judge through the automated case assignment system. Exceptions to this rule will be bankruptcy appeals, cases filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 and cases seeking an immediate Temporary Restraining

Notification to counsel, or to a party appearing pro se, of the random assignment of a case to the magistrate judge shall include a twopart form, on the reverse of this Order, to be executed and returned to the clerk's office by the attorney or party within twenty days of receipt. In this form the attorney or party shall <u>either</u> indicate consent to final referral of the case to the magistrate judge for all purposes including jury or non-jury trial, or indicate that consent to the referral to the magistrate judge will be refused. In the latter event, the case will be randomly re-assigned to a district court judge, and another case will be randomly assigned to the magistrate judge as a replacement through the automated case assignment system. Plaintiff's counsel will provide a copy of this Order and the two-part form to each defendant along with a copy of the complaint.

While consent to referral of the case to the magistrate judge is entirely voluntary, and no adverse consequences of any kind will redound to an attorney or party refusing to consent, submission of the executed form, memorializing consent or refusal to consent to final referral to the magistrate judge, by an attorney or party within twenty days of receipt is mandatory.

Upon the initial assignment of a civil case to the magistrate judge, he will forthwith exercise all authority provided for by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b). Moreover, if the pre-trial scheduling conference, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b) and Local Rule 16.1, occurs prior to the return of the consent form by all parties, but without any indication that any party has refused consent, this pre-trial scheduling conference will be conducted by the magistrate judge, as the presumptive trial judge. Upon confirmation of consent by all parties, the magistrate judge will thereafter exercise all the authority provided for in 28 U.S.C. § 636(c). If consent is refused, the magistrate judge will continue to exercise duties pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b), including rulings on all nondispositive pretrial and discovery matters and proposed findings and recommendations on dispositive motions in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P.72(a) and (b).

Date: Feb. 12, 2002

Michael & Pouss Michael A. Ponsor

U. S. District Judge